

UNITED STATES ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/427,457	10/16/99	ANDERSEN	G	AFB0	0497
THOMAS C STO SC JAZ O WRIGHT STI JANSCOM AFB I	REET	ММ91/0410 03	CHANI AF 2872 DATE I	MAILED:	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/427,457	ANDERSEN, GEOFF P.
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Audrey Y. Chang	2872
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2001 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whice	cation. A proper reply to a chapter to be chaptered to the chapter chapter in the chapter chapter.
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check only a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OF whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory p mailing date of the final rejection.	two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (continues to run from the mailing date of the	e final rejection,
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the ned statutory period for reply originally set in t	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37C 		
 The proposed amendment(s) will be entered up with requisite fees. 	on the timely submission of a Notic	ce of Appeal and Appeal Brief
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search. ((see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter. (see Not	te below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicatio issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number of f	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):	
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ıld be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed amendment
6.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s	s) is as follows (see attached writte	en explanation, if any):
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on	a) □has b) □ has not been appr	roved by the Examiner.
0. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
1. Other: <u>The amendment filed on March 30, 2001 fails s not entered also fro this reason.</u>	to comply with the new rule changed	of 37 CFR 1.121. The amendment

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 10

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment includes the new feature "for a microscope" that requires further search and consideration..

Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are base on newly added feature that are not persuasive to overcome the rejections.

> **Audrey Chang Primary Examiner**

Technology Center 2800